Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, State University of New York, Albany, New York 12222,
Empire State Plaza Laboratories, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York 12208, and
Department of Chemistry, The University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont 05401

Structure of a Mixed-Valence Iron Fluoride, $Fe_2F_5 \cdot 2H_2O$

WILLIAM HALL,[1a] STEPHEN KIM,[1b] JON ZUBIETA,*[1a] ERICK G. WALTON,[1c] and DAVID B. BROWN*[1c]

Received February 14, 1977 AIC701151

The mixed-valence iron compound, $Fe_2F_5 \cdot 2H_2O$, crystallizes in the orthorhombic system, space group *Imma*. The unit cell has dimensions $a = 7.489$ (7), $b = 10.897$ (8), and $c = 6.671$ (6) Å and contains four formula weights. The calculated and experimental densities are 2.96 and 2.94 g/cm^3, respectively, at 20 ± 1 °C. Measurements of diffracted intensities employed θ–2θ scans with filtered Mo Kα radiation on a Picker diffractometer. A total of 250 reflections in the range $2 \leq 2\theta < 50°$ were retained as observed. The structure was determined using the heavy-atom method and least-squares refinement. The final conventional discrepancy factor was 0.053. The nonmolecular solid possesses a three-dimensional network structure with distinct Fe(II) and Fe(III) coordination sites. The average Fe(II)–F and Fe(III)–F bond lengths are 2.060 (6) and 1.941 (6) Å, respectively. The aquo groups are coordinated to the iron(II) with Fe–O bond length of 2.13 (1) Å.

Introduction

Fluoride complexes of the transition metals have been studied extensively in recent years, particularly by solid-state scientists interested in cooperative phenomena. The interest in metal fluorides has several causes.[2] With most transition metals a variety of stoichiometries are possible, leading to a versatility of structures and physical properties. Metal fluorides are generally well behaved, with structures dependent largely on stereochemical factors. Furthermore, as a result of the high electronegativity of the fluoride ion, metal complexes are generally insulators or large band-gap semiconductors. The lack of electron delocalization leads to a certain simplicity in the interpretation of physical properties such as magnetism, and in consequence metal fluorides have often been studied as model systems.

In 1958, Brauer and Eichner[3] reported the preparation of the mixed-valence iron fluoride $Fe_2F_5 \cdot 7H_2O$. They further showed that this yellow heptahydrate could be dehydrated sequentially to a red trihydrate and a blue-gray anhydrous material. Because these materials appear to span a range of mixed-valence classifications,[4] they have generated significant interest in recent years. On the basis of color alone, this series of hydrates seems to include a yellow class I complex with noninteracting metal centers, a red class II complex with significant metal–metal interaction, and, potentially, a totally delocalized class III complex. On the basis of various physical measurements, the heptahydrate has been formulated[5,6] as a class I mixed-valence complex[4] having the ionic structure $[Fe(H_2O)_6{}^{2+}][FeF_5(H_2O)^{2-}]$.

The red material, initially formulated[3] as a trihydrate, has subsequently been shown[7,8] by thermoanalytical techniques to be a dihydrate, $Fe_2F_5 \cdot 2H_2O$. This complex has been investigated by Mössbauer spectroscopy,[9,10] and we have shown,[11] using Mössbauer and magnetic measurements, that the material orders ferrimagnetically at 48.5 K. In spite of the interest in this material, no detailed structural information has been presented. This is undoubtedly a result of the typical method of preparation of this material, which involves the thermal dehydration of the heptahydrate and leads to polycrystalline products. We have succeeded in preparing single crystals of $Fe_2F_5 \cdot 2H_2O$ directly and report here the structure as determined by x-ray diffraction.[12] A subsequent paper[11] will present the results of our studies of the magnetism and Mössbauer spectra of this material.

Experimental Section

Pentafluorodiiron(II,III) dihydrate, $Fe_2F_5 \cdot 2H_2O$, was prepared by a modification of Brauer and Eichner's preparation of the heptahydrate.[3] A large excess of metallic iron was heated to boiling in concentrated hydrofluoric acid. After decanting, the supernatant was heated at reflux temperatures until about half of the solution had boiled away. Small crystals of $F_2F_5 \cdot 2H_2O$ precipitated and continued to form as long as the solution was kept hot. (Allowing the solution to stand at room temperatures results in the formation of the yellow heptahydrate.) The product was filtered, washed with ethanol and ether, and air-dried. Anal. Calcd for $Fe_2F_5 \cdot 2H_2O$: Fe, 46.0; F, 39.1; H_2O, 14.9. Found: Fe, 45.1; F, 38.4; H_2O, 14.82.

Table I. Experimental Summary

Crystal Data for $Fe_2F_5 \cdot 2H_2O$

$F_w = 242.72$
$a = 7.489$ (7) Å
$b = 10.897$ (8) Å
$c = 6.671$ (6) Å
$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90.00°$
$\rho_{calcd} = 2.96$ g cm^{-3}
$\rho_{found} = 2.94$ (2) g cm^{-3} (flotation in dibromoethylene)

Orthorhombic system
Systematic absences: hkl, $h + k + l = 2n + 1$; $hk0$, $h = 2n + 1$
Space group *Imma*
$Z = 4$
$F(000) = 468$
λ(Mo K$\bar{\alpha}$) 0.7107 Å

Data Collection

Crystal dimensions: 0.352 × 0.340 × 0.325 mm
μ(Mo Kα) = 55.1 cm^{-1}
248 symmetry-independent reflections for $2 < 2\theta \leqslant 50°$

Iron was determined by permanganate titration following $SnCl_2$ reduction. Fluoride was determined using an Orion 94-01 fluoride-specific ion electrode. Water analyses were performed by Schwarzkopf Microanalytical Laboratory, Woodside, N.Y.

Small but well-formed prismatic crystals for the diffraction study were obtained by this preparative route. As the compound is totally insoluble in all common solvents, the crystals were mounted without further purification. The red-brown opaque data crystal was mounted with [010] parallel to the ϕ axis of the goniometer.

Weissenberg and precession photographs of crystal taken with Cu Kα radiation showed them to be orthorhombic with extinctions for hkl, $h + k + l = 2n + 1$, and $hk0$, $h = 2n + 1$, requiring a space group *Imma*. By use of the approximate cell dimensions obtained by film measurement, 20 reflections were accurately centered in the counter window of a Picker full-circle automated x-ray diffractometer. A least-squares procedure was used to obtain a best fit between the observed and calculated values of χ, ϕ, and 2θ for these reflections. The cell parameters and relevant crystal data are presented in Table I.

Intensity data were collected on the Picker diffractometer using Mo Kα radiation filtered through nickel foil. A scan speed of 1°/min was employed in measuring each reflection by the moving crystal–moving counter technique with a scan range in 2θ of 2°, plus an allowance for the splitting of the Kα_1 and Kα_2 radiation. The background for each reflection was determined by 10-s stationary counts at each end of the scan range. A total of 248 unique reflections were collected in the limits $2 < 2\theta < 50°$. Three standard reflections were employed to monitor diffractometer and crystal stability. The variation in integrated intensities of the standards was that expected from counting statistics (1.5% of the mean).

The observed intensities were corrected for background, Lorentz, polarization, and absorption effects. The transmission factors range from 0.04 to 0.13. A Wilson plot yielded an approximate absolute

Table II. Final Positional and Anisotropic Thermal Parameters[a,b]

Atom	*x*	*y*	*z*	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	U_{12}	U_{13}	U_{23}
Fe1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	67 (18)	77 (17)	152 (20)	0	0	–20 (15)
Fe2	0.2500	0.2500	0.2500	51 (16)	49 (16)	140 (19)	0	3 (1)	0
F1	0.2022 (9)	0.1239 (6)	0.0538 (10)	142 (40)	125 (32)	208 (41)	–20 (20)	–5 (2)	–15 (20)
F2	0.0000	0.2500	0.3368 (22)	59 (60)	114 (66)	199 (80)	0	0	0
O1	0.5000	0.5640 (13)	0.1974 (22)	160 (70)	365 (80)	266 (90)	0	0	20 (60)

[a] Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses. [b] $U_{ij} \times 10^4$. The vibrational coefficients relate to the expression $T = \exp[-2\pi^2\cdot$ $\exp[-2\pi^2(U_{11}h^2a^{*2} + U_{22}k^2b^{*2} + U_{33}l^2c^{*2} + 2U_{12}hka^*b^* + 2U_{13}kla^*c^* + 2U_{23}klb^*c^*)]$.



Figure 1. Perspective view of an isolated fragment of the three-dimensional network structure of $Fe_2F_5{\cdot}2H_2O$ showing the 50% probability ellipsoids and the atom-labeling scheme.

scale factor. Scattering factors for iron, fluorine, and oxygen were taken from Cromer and Waber.[14] The anomalous dispersion corrections for iron were included. Scattering factors for the hydrogen atoms were those of Stewart, Davidson, and Simpson.[15] Only reflections with $I > 2.5\sigma(I)$ were included in the refinement, a total of 229 reflections. Although at the final stage of refinement the 040 reflection was excluded as it appeared to be seriously affected by extinction, no systematic attempt was made to correct the data for extinction effects.

Structure Determination. A Patterson map revealed the positions of all nonhydrogen atoms, and the the small number of peaks (~20) confirmed the choice of the centrosymmetric space group *Imma*. Refinement of the positional parameters and individual isotropic temperature factors converged at a value of 0.11 for the conventional *R* factor. Assignment and refinement of anisotropic temperature factors reduced the residual to 0.053. A final difference Fourier map showed no excursions of electron density greater than 0.75 e/Å^3, on a scale where the average value for an oxygen atom is 6 e/Å^3. The final positional and thermal parameters are presented in Table II. The pertinent bond lengths and angles are given in Table III. Figure 1 provides an atom-labeling scheme while Figure 2 presents a stereoview of the molecular packing.

Results and Discussion

As indicated by the unit cell composition a number of atoms must sit at special positions in the unit cell. The eight iron atoms in the cell are located in two distinct sites: Fe1 at the Wyckoff positions a and Fe2 at the Wyckoff positions c (see Table II). F2 atoms are at the Wyckoff positions e and O1 atoms are at the Wyckoff positions h. Only atoms of the type F1 sit at the general positions of 16-fold multiplicity.

A significant feature of the structure is the distinct nature of the two unique iron sites. The Fe2 sites consist of vertex-sharing octahedra FeF_6, whereas Fe1 coordination is characterized by *trans*-$FeF_4(H_2O)_2$ octahedra with vertex sharing in the equatorial fluorine plane. Coupled with the observed bond distances (vide infra), it is clear that the Fe2 atoms are thus formally Fe(III) while the Fe1 sites are characterized as Fe(II). The existence of discrete Fe(II) and Fe(III) coordination environments demonstrates that this is a class II mixed-valence species,[4] in agreement with various physical probes.[5,10,11] The fluorine atoms of both types are doubly bridging: F2 coordinates solely to iron atoms of type Fe2, while F1 serves to link iron atoms of types Fe1 and Fe2 as indicated in Figure 1. The aquo groups are terminal, participating in coordination to type Fe1 iron only. The axially distorted FeF_6 octahedra thus share all six vertices, and the $Fe_2F_4(H_2O)$ polyhedra share four equatorial fluorine positions.

The overall structure may be described in terms of zigzag chains of Fe2–F2 groups, formed by trans vertex sharing of the FeF_6 octahedra, running parallel to the cell *a* axis. Each $[FeF]_n^{2n+}$ chain is cross-linked to four adjacent chains through bridging $FeF_4(H_2O)_2$ octahedra to produce an unusual three-dimensional framework, diagrammed in Figures 3 and 4. Each $FeF_4(H_2O)_2$ octahedron connects two $[FeF]_n^{2n+}$ chains, and, since the structure is built up through vertex

Table III. Internuclear Distances, Polyhedral Edge Lengths, and Bond Angles

(i) Interatomic Distances, Å[a,b]			
Fe1–F1 (4)	2.060 (6)	Fe1–Fe1	5.558 (2)
Fe1–O1 (2)	2.13 (1)		5.015 (2)
Fe2–F1 (4)	1.932 (7)	Fe1–Fe2	3.702 (2)
Fe2–F2 (2)	1.960 (4)	Fe2–Fe2	3.744 (2)
(ii) Polyhedral Edge Distances, Å			
Fe1 polyhedron		Fe2 polyhedron	
F1–F1	2.793 (9)	F2–F1	2.720 (8)
F1–O1	2.89 (1)		2.78 (1)
	2.87 (1)	F1–F1	2.749 (9)
			2.714
(iii) Bond Angles, deg			
F1–Fe1–F1	85.4 (3)	F1–Fe2–F2	88.7 (4)
	94.6 (3)		91.3 (4)
F1-Fe1–O1	92.8 (3)	F1–Fe2–F1	89.3 (3)
	87.2 (3)		90.7 (3)
Fe1–F1–Fe2	136.1 (4)	Fe2–F2–Fe2	145.7 (8)

[a] The number of equivalent bonds of a given type is indicated in parentheses. [b] Standard deviations, in parentheses, occur in the last significant figure for each parameter.



Figure 2. Stereoscopic view of the packing of the nonmolecular phase of $Fe_2F_5{\cdot}2H_2O$ in the unit cell.

Table IV. Iron–Fluoride Lattices

Compd	No. of octahedral vertices and/or edges shared	Description of overall structure	Bond lengths, Å	Ref
FeF_3	6 vertices	3-D network	FeF: 1.926	16, 17
$F_{0.6}FeF_3$	6 vertices	3-D tungsten-bronze network	FeF: 1.93–2.11	18
$KFeF_3$, $RbFeF_3$	6 vertices	3-D perovskite network	FeF: 2.06	19, 20
$KFeF_4$, K_2FeF_4	4 vertices	Single cis layer	*a*	21, 22
$BaFeF_5$	4 and 2 vertices	Ramified chain and linear trans chain	FeF: 1.92 (4), av	23
$K_3Fe_2F_7$	5 vertices	Double octahedral layer	*a*	22
$Na_5Fe_3F_{14}$	2 and 4 vertices	Layer	*a*	24
γ-$Na_5Fe_3F_{14}$	2 and 4 vertices	Layer	FeF: 1.76–2.02	25
$Rb_2Fe_5F_{17}$	5 and 6 vertices	Multiple layer	*a*	21
K_2FeF_5	2 vertices	Chain	*a*	21
$LiFe_2F_6$	2 edge, 2 vertices	Trirutile structure	*a*	26
Rb_3FeF_6	0	Isolated octahedra	*a*	27
K_2NaFeF_6	0	Isolated octahedra	FeF: 1.910 (3)	28
FeF_2	2 edge, 2 vertices	3-D rutile structure	FeF: 2.118 (4), 1.998 (6)	29
$K_2FeF_5(H_2O)$	0	Isolated octahedra	FeF: 1.92 (1) FeO: 2.07 (1)	30
$FeF_2(H_2O)_4$	0	Isolated	FeF: 1.96, *b*	31
$FeF_3(H_2O)_3$	2 vertices	Trans chain	FeF: 1.951, 1.926 FeF, O: 1.937, *b*	32

[a] Unit cell dimensions are listed, but no structural details are available. [b] Statistically disordered structures.



Figure 3. Diagrammatic representation of the $Fe_2F_5{\cdot}2H_2O$ structure viewed parallel to the *a* cell axis. The squares represent columns of trans-vertex-sharing FeF_6 octahedra and the rhombi represent the cross-linking $FeF_4(H_2O)_2$ polyhedra.



Figure 4. Diagrammatic representation of the $Fe_2F_5{\cdot}2H_2O$ structure viewed parallel to the *b* cell axis. The squares represent cross-linking $FeF_4(H_2O)_2$ polyhedra and the rhombi represent the trans-vertex-sharing FeF_6 octahedra.

sharing exclusively, each Fe1 atom is associated with four Fe2 atoms via the fluorine bridges.

The three-dimensional structure is significantly different from those previously reported for iron–fluoride and iron–fluoride hydrate phases. The structures common to simple iron–fluoride systems are described in Table IV in terms of the edge- or vertex-sharing characteristics of the iron octahedra and the relevant bond distances are listed. A feature common to a number of nonmolecular iron(III) fluoride lattices is the trans vertex sharing or columnar arrangement of the FeF_6 octahedra, a characteristic shared by the Fe(III) octahedra in $Fe_2F_5{\cdot}2H_2O$. The aquo groups function exclusively as terminal ligands, uninvolved in vertex sharing, in common with structures presently available.

In $Fe_2F_5{\cdot}2H_2O$ there are two different types of fluoride bridges, which may be recognized from their respective roles in bridging two Fe(III) groups in the trans-vertex-sharing FeF_6 columns or in cross-linking Fe(III) and Fe(II) atoms and from the metal–fluoride bond lengths. The average Fe2–fluoride bond length, 1.946 Å, is significantly shorter than the Fe1–fluoride bond length of 2.060 Å, in accord with the formal oxidation-state assignments of Fe(III) and Fe(II), respectively. These observed bond lengths compare quite favorably with the values calculated from the effective ionic radii compiled by Shannon and Prewitt of 2.06 Å for the iron(II)–bridging fluoride distance and 1.93 Å for Fe(III) bridge fluoride.[33] The average iron(III)–fluoride distances in the FeF_3,[17] $BaFeF_5$,[23] and $FeF_3(H_2O)_3$[32] structures, where the fluoride assumes a similar bridging function, are 1.93, 1.92, and 1.94 Å, respectively. The iron(II)–fluoride distances in FeF_2[29] and $Fe_2F_5{\cdot}2H_2O$ of 2.07 and 2.06 Å, respectively, are significantly longer and show a trend that follows the expected expansion in the metal ionic radii, Fe(II) > Fe(III). The iron(II)–aquo oxygen distance of 2.13 Å in $Fe_2F_5{\cdot}2H_2O$ may be compared to those found in $K_2FeF_5(H_2O)$,[30] $[FeCl_2(H_2O)_4]Cl{\cdot}2H_2O$,[34] and the ammonium and potassium salts of $FeCl_5(H_2O)^-$ [35,36] of 2.07, 2.07, and 2.10 Å, respectively, and to the calculated value of 2.13 Å.[33]

The distinct nature of the two iron sites, formally Fe(II) and Fe(III), in the $Fe_2F_5{\cdot}2H_2O$ species is supported by considerations of the overall polyhedron geometries and individual bond lengths. This may be contrasted with another stoichiometric mixed-valence iron(II,III) fluoride, $LiFe_2F_6$. This material, which crystallizes with a trirutile structure,[26] has Fe(II) and Fe(III) sites which are indistinguishable crystallographically. Such a result would be compatible with either class III mixed-valence behavior (e.g., nonintegral valence) or else a disordered structure. Mössbauer spectroscopy, however, clearly demonstrates class II behavior (e.g., trapped valences) and suggests an ordered structure.[37] The difference in the nature of exchange interactions in $LiFe_2F_6$ and $Fe_2F_5{\cdot}2H_2O$, a difference which arises in part from the structural variations, will be considered in a subsequent publication.[11]

Acknowledgment. Work performed at the University of Vermont was supported by the Office of Naval Research. We thank a reviewer for pointing out a mistake in a data table.

Registry No. $Fe_2F_5{\cdot}2H_2O$, 53168-87-9.

Supplementary Material Available: Listing of structure factor amplitudes (3 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

References and Notes

(1) (a) State University of New York. (b) New York State Department of Health. (c) The University of Vermont.
(2) J. Portier, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, **15**, 475 (1976).
(3) G. Brauer and M. Eichner, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, **296**, 13 (1958).
(4) M. B. Robin and P. Day, *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.*, **10**, 247 (1967).
(5) E. G. Walton, P. J. Corvan, D. B. Brown, and P. Day, *Inorg. Chem.*, **15**, 1737 (1976).
(6) T. Sakai and T. Tominaga, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **48**, 3168 (1975).
(7) P. Charpin and Y. Macheteau, *C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. C*, **280**, 61 (1975).
(8) K. J. Gallagher and M. R. Ottaway, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 978 (1975).
(9) T. Sakai and T. Tominaga, *Radioisotopes*, **23**, 347 (1974).
(10) P. Imbert, G. Jehanno, Y. Macheteau, and F. Varret, *J. Phys. (Paris)*, **37**, 969 (1976).
(11) E. G. Walton, D. B. Brown, H. Wong, W. M. Reiff, and J. Zubieta, to be submitted for publication.
(12) In their initial work,[3] Brauer and Eichner prepared crystalline material using a different route. The unit cell parameters which they determined are in agreement with our work. However, the improper formula used and the apparently incorrect density of 2.43 g/mL (determined pycnometrically in decalin) led to a value of 3 formula units in the unit cell. It should be noted that published powder pattern data for this compound contain several errors.[13]
(13) G. Brauer, personal communication.
(14) D. T. Cromer and J. T. Waber, *Acta Crystallogr.*, **18**, 104 (1965).
(15) R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, and W. T. Simpson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **42**, 3175 (1965).
(16) F. Eleat, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, **196**, 395 (1931).
(17) M. A. Hepworth, K. H. Jack, R. D. Peacock, and G. J. Westland, *Acta Crystallogr.*, **10**, 63 (1957).
(18) A. M. Hardy, A. Hardy, and G. Ferey, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, **29**, 1654 (1973).
(19) K. Knox, *Acta Crystallogr.*, **14**, 583 (1961).
(20) M. Kestigian, F. D. Leipzigen, W. J. Croft, and R. Guidoboni, *Inorg. Chem.*, **5**, 1462 (1966).
(21) A. Tressaud, J. Portier, R. de Pape, and P. Hagenmuller, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **2**, 269 (1970).
(22) R. de Pape, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, **12**, 3489 (1965).
(23) R. von der Muhll, S. Anderson, and J. Galy, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, **27**, 2345 (1971).
(24) K. Knox and S. Geller, *Phys. Rev.*, **116**, 771 (1958).
(25) M. Vlasse, F. Menil, C. Moritiere, J. M. Dance, A. Tressaud, and J. Portier, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **17**, 291 (1976).
(26) J. Portier, A. Tressaud, R. de Pape, and P. Hagenmuller, *C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. C*, **267**, 1711 (1968).
(27) H. Bode and E. Voss, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, **290**, 1 (1957).
(28) K. Knox and D. W. Mitchell, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, **21**, 253 (1961).
(29) W. H. Baur and A. A. Khan, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, **27**, 2133 (1971).
(30) A. J. Edwards, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 816 (1972).
(31) B. R. Penfold and M. R. Taylor, *Acta Crystallogr.*, **13**, 953 (1960).
(32) G. Teufer, *Acta Crystallogr.*, **17**, 1480 (1964).
(33) R. D. Shannon and C. T. Prewitt, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, **25**, 925 (1969).
(34) M. D. Lind, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **47**, 990 (1967).
(35) I. Lindquist, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, **2**, 530 (1948).
(36) A. Bellanca, *Period. Mineral.*, **17**, 59 (1948).
(37) N. N. Greenwood, A. T. Howe, and F. Mênil, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 2218 (1971).

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry,
Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

(β-Aminoacyl)palladium(II) Complexes: Preparations, Structures, and Reactions

LOUIS S. HEGEDUS,* OREN P. ANDERSON,* KRISTER ZETTERBERG, GARY ALLEN,
KIRSTI SIIRALA-HANSEN, DAVID J. OLSEN, and ALAN B. PACKARD

Received March 9, 1977 AIC70188K

The crystal and molecular structure of chloro(3-diethylaminopropionyl)(diethylamine)palladium(II) (**1a**) has been determined from three-dimensional single-crystal x-ray diffraction data, collected by counter techniques. The crystals are orthorhombic, space group *Cmca* (No. 64), with eight formula units in a unit cell of dimensions a = 12.272 (3), b = 8.363 (2), and c = 29.445 (7) Å. The structure was refined by full-matrix least-squares methods to an R of 0.047 (R_w = 0.053) for 806 independent reflections with $F^2 > 3\sigma(F^2)$. The monomeric complex exhibits approximately square-planar coordination geometry about the palladium(II) ion, with the chloride ion bonded in a trans configuration with respect to the σ-bonded acyl carbon atom. Bond lengths to palladium are as follows: Pd–C(acyl) = 1.95 (1) Å, Pd–Cl⁻ = 2.446 (4) Å, Pd–N = 2.09 (1) and 2.11 (1) Å. Oxidative cleavage of this complex and the (3-diethylamino)heptanoyl complex **1d** with Br_2 followed by treatment with methanol, diethylamine, or ammonia resulted in the high-yield production of β-amino esters or amides. Complexes resulting from the replacement of the Et_2NH in complex **1a** by Ph_3P, methyl isocyanide, and (methylamino)(diethylamino)carbene and replacement of both Et_2NH and Cl⁻ by cyclopentadienyl and methyl isocyanide were synthesized and characterized by ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy.

Introduction

Palladium-assisted aminocarbonylation of simple monoolefins produces stable (β-aminoacyl)palladium(II) complexes of structure **1** (eq 1). In an initial publication,[1] the preparation and the infrared and proton NMR spectra of a series of these complexes were reported. In this paper the x-ray crystal structure of complex **1a**, its reactions to form β-amino acid derivatives, and its reactions producing a variety of new palladium(II) complexes containing the β-aminoacyl ligand are presented.



(1)

1a, R = H; 73%
b, R = Me; 72%
c, R = Et; 65%
d, R = *n*-Bu; 70%

Results and Discussion

Structure of Chloro(3-diethylaminopropionyl)(diethylamine)palladium(II). The structure of chloro(3-diethylaminopropionyl)(diethylamine)palladium(II) (**1a**) is displayed in Figure 1, while atomic coordinates, anisotropic thermal parameters, and bond lengths and angles within the complex unit are tabulated in Tables I–III. The complex adopts a square-planar configuration, in which the chloride ion is trans to the acyl carbon of the bidentate 3-diethylaminopropionyl ligand. As described in the Experimental Section of this report,